Genetic Variability, Correlation and Path Coefficient Analysis in Seed Yield and Related Traits of Cowpea (*Vigna Unguiculata* (L.)Walp) Germplasm Accessions on High Altitude Area of Jos Plateau

*Kwon-Ndung E.H.*¹ *Federal University Lafia, Nigeria*

> Kwala T. D.² University of Jos, Nigeria

Abstract

A field experiment was carried out at Dagwom farm at National Veterinary Research Institute (NVRI) Vom, in Jos South Local Government area of Plateau state Nigeria (Lat.09º44N, long.08º47E, altitude 1293.2 m above sea level) in 2013 and 2014 rainy seasons, to evaluate the genetic variability, correlation and path coefficient analysis in seed yield and related traits of cowpea. The treatments consisted of eighty (80) cowpea germplasm accessions obtained from International Institute for Tropical Agriculture (IITA) Ibadan Nigeria. The experiment was laid out in a Randomized Complete Block Design (RCBD) in two replicates. The Analysis of Variance (ANOVA) showed significant difference at (P<0.01) among the entries for all the yield and related traits accessed. High phenotypic coefficient of variability (PCV) compared with genotypic coefficient of variability (GCV) coupled with low Environmental coefficient of variability (ECV) was observed for all the yield and related traits accessed. High broad sense heritability (H²B) and genetic advance (GAM (%) was observed for the entire yield and related traits accessed except days to maturity which recorded high heritability with moderate genetic advance. Significant positive correlation was observed between grain yield and number of pod per plant $(r=0.572^{**})$, hundred seed weight $(r=0.504^{**})$, pod length $(r=0.523^{**})$, number of secondary branches per plant (r=0.450**), number of seed per pod (r=0.431**), number of primary branches per plant (r=0.339**) plant height (r=0.285*), suggests that improving any of these characters will boost grain yield of cowpea. Path coefficient analysis showed that, number of pod per plant (P=0.65), hundred seed weight (P=0.54), number of seed per pod (P=0.29) number of secondary branches per plant (P=0.16), plant height (P=0.05)), and pod length (P=0.04) contributed directly to grain yield. The result from this study therefore revealed that hundred seed weight, number of pod per plant, number of secondary branches per plant, number of seed per pod, pod length and plant height recorded high genetic variability, heritability and genetic advance coupled with high significant genotypic correlation with grain yield and

contributed directly to grain yield. Therefore, these characters should be considered when planning hybridization programme for cowpea yield improvement.

Keywords: Accessions, Germplasm, Cowpea, Genetic Advance, Heritability, Correlation, Path Analysis.

¹Department of Botany, Federal University Lafia. P.M.B 146 Lafia. Nasarawa State Nigeria **Correspondence:** kwon_ndung@yahoo.com

²Department of Plant Science and Technology. University of Jos. Nigeria.Plateau State. Nigeria

Introduction

Cowpea (*Vigna unguiculata*(*L*.) *Walp*) is a very important crop legume in Nigeria. It is vital crop in the livelihood of both humans and animals, where itserves as a close substitute to animal protein especially to people who cannot afford animal protein due to low income and large family size. The dry leaves steam and husk also serve as a rich source protein for ruminant animals. Cowpea is considered as poor man's meat. They are generally rich in protein (18-25 %) and good source of mineral and vitamins (Tharanathan and Mahadevamma, 2003) Cowpea fixes atmospheric nitrogen through symbiosis with nodule bacteria (Shiringani and Shimeles, 2011). It does well and most popular in the semi-arid of the tropics where other food legumes do not perform well (Sankie et al., 2012). Cowpea ranks well among neglected crops and can contribute to food security if its genetic resources are saved for utilization in breeding and improvement (Adewale et al., 2012).

More than 4.9 million tons of dried cowpea are produced worldwide with Africa producing over 5.2 million. Nigeria the largest producer and consumer account for 61% of production in Africa and 58 % worldwide (IITA, 2009)

Considering the important of cowpea to the livelihood of human and animals, there is a need therefore to expand its cultivation to other areas of Nigeria especially the high altitude of Jos, so as to meet the nutritional requirements of the growing population in Nigeria and the world at large.

Jos is located on a high altitude with mountainous climate characterized by very low temperatures. Cowpea is a heat loving crop which can thrive well on low lands and warm climate especially in the savannah region. It is therefore a challenge for breeders to develop new cowpea variety suitable for cultivation in this disadvantage area. And these can be achievedthrough planned breeding program.

The first step in any breeding program is to find out if there is sufficient variability among the breeding materials for breeders to explore. Variability is the basis for selection in any crop. And that can be achieve through estimation of phenotypic and genotypic variabilities heritability and genetic advance (Denton and Nwangburuka, 2011) emphasized that Genotypic and phenotypic coefficients of variation and heritability accompanied with genetic advance are very important parameters in improving traits.

Variability alone is not adequate to enable selection of character of interest for further breeding work, that was why correlation was used in this study to reveal the relation between some characters and yield. Correlation even thou very important in determining the relationship between two variables can be misleading especially when correlated withan important character like yield, since yield is complex trait control by many genes and also environment. That was why pathcoefficient analysis was used in other to decompose the total correlations into direct and indirect effect to know which character contributed positively and directly to yield and can be selected for further improvement. Several researchers (Manggoel et al., 2012; Lesly, 2005; Alidu et al., 2013; El-Ahainy, 2012) has estimated

correlation between yield and yield contributing characters and there direct and indirect effects on cowpea and have revealed that character that has contributed positively and directly to grain yield can be selected for further work on cowpea yield improvement. That is why this research is carried out to evaluate the genetic variabilities correlation and path coefficient analysis in cowpea germplasm accessions with the objective of selecting characters of interest to be utilized in further breeding work for improving the yield potential of cowpeaon high altitude area of Jos.

Materials and Methods

Experimental site location

The experiment was carried out in Dagwom farm at National Veterinary Research Institute (NVRI) Vom, Jos South Local Government Area of Plateau State (Lat.09⁰44N, long.08⁰47E. altitude 1293.2 m above sea level) during the 2013 and 2014 cropping seasons.

Experimental Materials

Eighty (80) Cowpea (*Vigna unguiculata* (L.) Walp) accessions obtained from International Institute for Tropical Agriculture (IITA) Ibadan, Nigeria, were used for this study.

Experimental Design

The experiment was laid out in a Randomized Complete Block Design (RCBD) in two replicates. Each accession was planted in a row of 30 cm long, with a spacing 70 cm x 30 cm between and within row respectively. All standard agronomic practices for cowpea were followed strictly to ensure full expression of the cowpea potentials. The crop was harvested after maturity. Matured pod were handpicked 2-3 times from each row and sun dried before post-harvest data collected.

Data Collection and Analyses

Data were collected from five (5) randomly selected plants in the mid row of each accessions on the following characters: plant establishment, plant height, days to flower initiation, days to flower termination, days to maturity, number of primary branches per plant, number of secondary branches per plant, number of pod per plant, pod length, number of seed per pod, hundred seed weight and grain yield (kg/ha). The data were subjected to analysis of variance (ANOVA) using Crop Stat Version 7.2 model software program, developed by the crop and soil science Department USA to estimate the level of variabilities among the accessions. Correlation was analysed using Genstat Discovery Edition 3 (Genstat, 2007) software program. To partition the total correlation into direct and indirect effect, Analysis for Moment Structure for Windows Version 16 (AMOS Development Corp., House USA) software program was used.

The phenotypic variation for each trait was partitioned into genetic and non-genetic factors as estimated according to Johnson *et al.* (1955). As follows:

$$\delta^{2}g = \frac{MSg - MSe}{r}\delta^{2}ph = \delta^{2}g + \frac{MSe}{r}$$
$$\delta^{2}e = \frac{MSe}{r}$$

Where $\delta^2 g$, $\delta^2 ph$ and $\delta^2 e$ are genotypic, phenotypic and environmental variances respectively while MSg, MSe and r are mean squares for accessions, mean squares error and number of replications respectively.

To compare the variations among characters, phenotypic coefficient of variability (PCV), genotypic coefficient of variability (GCV) and environmental coefficient of variability (ECV) were computed according to the method suggested by (Allard, 1960) and (Burton, 1952) as follows:

$$GCV = \frac{\sqrt{\delta^2 g}}{\bar{X}} X 100\% , PCV = \frac{\sqrt{\delta^2 ph}}{\bar{X}} X 100\%$$
$$ECV = \frac{\sqrt{\delta^2 e}}{\bar{X}} X 100\%$$

Where $\overline{\mathbf{X}}$ is the grand mean for the studied character. The categorization of phenotypic coefficient of variability (PCV), genotypic coefficient of variability (GCV) and environmental coefficient of variability (ECV) was done as per the scale given by Subramanian and Memon, (1973) as follows.

| Category | <u>Range</u> |
|----------|----------------|
| Low | <10 per cent |
| Moderate | 11-20 per cent |
| High | >20 percent |

Broad sense heritability (H^2B) is the ratio of the genotypic variance to the total variance, its denotes the proportion of phenotypic variances that is due to genotypes (heritable) and is calculated according to the formula given by Henson *et al.* (1956).

$$H^2B = \frac{\delta^2 g}{\delta^2 g + \delta^2 e} \times 100\%$$

Where

 $\delta^2 g$ = genotypic variance

 $(\delta^2 g + \delta^2 e)$ = Phenotypic variance

The International Journal of Innovative Approaches in Agricultural Research Volume 1 Issue 1, 2017

Broad sense heritability (H²B) was categorized as proposed by Johnson et al. (1955).

| Category | <u>Range</u> |
|----------|----------------|
| Low | <30 per cent |
| Moderate | 30-60 per cent |
| High | >60 percent |

Genetic advance is the improvement in the mean genotypic value of the selected families over the base population it is calculated in percent of mean using the formula given by Johnson *et al.* (1955).

GA(% of mean) =
$$\frac{\delta^2 g + (k)}{\delta p \times \overline{X}} \times 100\%$$

Where

k = selection differential at 5% selection intensity k=2.06

 $\delta^2 g$ = genotypic variances

 δp = phenotypic standard deviation of the character

The range of genetic advance as percentage of mean for various traits was categorized as suggested by Johnson *et al.* (1955)

| Category | Range |
|----------|----------------|
| Low | <10 per cent |
| Moderate | 11-20 per cent |
| High | >20 percent |

Results

Genetic variability heritability and genetic advance

Analysis of variance (ANOVA) revealed highly significant difference at (P<0.01) for all the yield and related traits accessed. The (ANOVA) also revealed significance accession \times season (A \times S) interaction for all the yield and related traits accessed except plant height Table 2.

The results of the Phenotypic coefficient of Variability (PCV), Genotypic coefficient of Variability (GCV) Environmental coefficient of variability (ECV), broad sense heritability (H²B) and genetic advance as percent of mean GAM % for all the characters observed are presented in Table 3. Generally, the PCV were higher than GCV also the ECV was lower in magnitude compared with GCV for all the

yield and related traits accessed. High heritability H²B with genetic advance GAM % were observed in all the yield and related traits accessed except days to maturity which recorded high heritability with low genetic advance. The highest heritability with genetic advance was observed for hundred seed weight.

Genotypic Correlation with grain yield

Genotypic correlation computed among the characters averaged over two years are presented in Table 4. Significant positive correlation was found between grain yield and number of pod per plant ($r=0.572^{**}$), pod length ($r=0.523^{**}$) hundred seed weight ($r=0.504^{**}$), number of secondary branches per plant ($r=0.450^{**}$), number of seed per pod (r=0.431), number of primary branches per plant ($r=0.339^{**}$) and plant height ($r=0.285^{*}$).

Genotypic Path Coefficient Analysis

Path diagram showing cause and effect relationship of grain yield and its related traits are presented in Figure 1. The direct, indirect and total effect of some yield and related traits on grain yield averaged over two years are presented in Table 5.

Number of pod per plant recorded the highest direct effect on grain yield its direct contribution was 0.65 out of the total genotypic correlation of 0.5746. The value of the direct contribution of number of pod per plant was higher than the genotypic correlation coefficient of this character to grain yield. It's contributed indirectly to grain yield via number of secondary branches 0.12 and plant height 0.0035.

Hundred seed weight recorded a direct contribution of 0.54 to grain yield out of the total genotypic correlation of 0.506, it contributed indirectly to grain yield via number of seed per pod was 0.0928, pod length 0.0264 and plant height 0.0105. The values of the direct effect of hundred seed weight on grain yield was higher than its total genotypic correlation to grain yield.

The direct contribution of number of seed per podto grain yield was P=0.29, it's recorded a highest indirect contribution to grain yield via hundred seed weight 0.162, pod length 0.0276, and plant height 0.016. The character recorded a total genotypic correlation of 0.4076 to grain yield.

The direct contribution of number of secondary branches per plant to grain yield was 0.16 out of the total correlation of 0.4507, it contributed indirectly to grain yield via number of pod per plant 0.4875, number of seed per pod 0.0261, pod length 0.0008 and plant height 0.005.

Pod length recorded a direct contribution of 0.04 to grain yield. Its contributed indirectly to grain yield via hundred seed weight 0.3564, number of seed per pod 0.2001 and plant height 0.0185. Pod length recorded a total genotypic correlation of 0.5285 to grain yield.

The direct contribution of plant height to grain yield was 0.05, its contributions to grain yield was highest via hundred seed weight 0.1134 then number of seed per pod 0.0928, number of pod per plant 0.0455, pod length 0.0148 and number of secondary branches per plant 0.016. Plant height recorded a total genotypic correlation of 0.2866 to grain yield.

Number of primary branches per plant only contributed indirectly to grain yield via number of pod per plant 0.2925 number of secondary branches per plant 0.1136, number of seed per pod 0.0135 plant height 0.0812 pod length 0.0104 and hundred seed weight 0.0054. The character recorded a total genotypic correlation of 0.3466 to grain yield.

Table 1. Meteorological data for 2013 and 2014 cropping seasons at Vom Jos South Local Governmentarea of Plateau State Nigeria

| Weather Record | Total Rainfall(mm) | | Total Rainfall(mm) | | Total Rainfall(mm) | | Rai | n days | Max. a ⁰ C | ir Temp | Min. ⁰ C | air temp | R.H (| %) |
|-------------------|-----------------------|-------|-----------------------|------|-----------------------|------|------|--------|--------------------------|---------|------------------------|----------|--------------|----|
| Year/months | 2013 | 2014 | 2013 | 2014 | 2013 | 2014 | 2013 | 2014 | 2013 | 2014 | | | | |
| August | 273.6 | 256.1 | 16 | 17 | 24 | 24 | 18 | 17 | 84 | 79 | | | | |
| September | 277 | 279 | 15 | 19 | 26 | 26 | 18 | 17 | 78 | 71 | | | | |
| October | 88.9 | 76.4 | 7 | 6 | 28 | 28 | 15 | 20 | 51 | 54 | | | | |
| November | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 32 | 31 | 13 | 13 | 34 | 38 | | | | |
| December | 15.2 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 29 | 28 | 13 | 14 | 36 | 32 | | | | |

Source: National Root Crops Research Institute Potato Programme Vom Jos south Plateau state

| Traits | MS accessions | MS season | (A X S) |
|----------------------------------------|---------------|---------------------|---------|
| Plant establishment (%) | 1317** | 813.1** | * |
| Plant height (cm) | 69.37** | 56.56 ^{NS} | NS |
| Days to flower initiation | 120.6** | 203.2* | * |
| Days to flower termination | 155.0** | 845.0** | ** |
| Days to maturity | 112.8** | 732.1** | ** |
| Number of primary branches per plant | 3.37** | 2.19 ^{NS} | ** |
| Number of secondary branches per plant | 7.45** | 7.93 ^{NS} | ** |
| Number of pod per plant | 36.61** | 145.4** | ** |
| Pod Length (cm) | 21.40** | 15.56** | ** |
| Number of seed per pod | 14.30** | 63.51** | ** |
| 100 Seed weight (g) | 13.79** | 4.26** | ** |
| Grain yield (kg/ha) | 114360** | 691943** | ** |

Table 2. Combined analysis of variance for twelve traits of cowpea on high altitude area of Jos plateau

state Nigeria

MS =Mean squares, * =significant at p<0.05, **=significant at p<0.01, NS= not significant

Table 3. Phenotypic Genotypic Environmental Variances, Heritability and Genetic Advance for twelvetraits of Cowpea (Vigna unguiculata (L.) Walp) averaged over two years on high altitude area of JosPlateau state Nigeria.

| Traits | GCV(%) | PCV(%) | ECV(%) | $H^{2}B(\%)$ | GAM(%) |
|----------------------------------------|--------|--------|--------|--------------|--------|
| Plant establishment (%) | 60.39 | 64.81 | 23.57 | 86.78 | 115.90 |
| Plant height (cm) | 31.28 | 37.76 | 25.15 | 68.65 | 53.43 |
| Days to flower initiation | 11.99 | 13.23 | 5.48 | 82.20 | 22.30 |
| Days to flower termination | 11.27 | 11.78 | 3.44 | 91.46 | 22.20 |
| Days to maturity | 8.24 | 8.77 | 2.98 | 88.51 | 15.98 |
| Number of primary branches per plant | 42.96 | 46.93 | 19.13 | 83.43 | 80.66 |
| Number of secondary branches per plant | 33.30 | 39.71 | 21.60 | 70.51 | 57.60 |
| Number of pod per plant | 41.34 | 47.82 | 23.91 | 74.86 | 73.63 |
| Pod Length (cm) | 24.47 | 25.49 | 7.01 | 92.40 | 48.56 |
| Number of seed per pod | 19.37 | 20.91 | 8.06 | 85.03 | 36.72 |
| 100 Seed weight (g) | 37.59 | 38.03 | 8.66 | 97.76 | 96.50 |
| Grain yield (kg/ha) | 54.10 | 62.57 | 31.41 | 74.79 | 96.40 |

GCV=Genotypic coefficient of variability, PCV=Phenotypic coefficient of variability, ECV=Environmental coefficient of variability, H^2B (%) =Broad sense heritability, GAM =Genetic advance as percentage of mean.

Table 4. Genotypic Correlation coefficient of yield and related traits of Cowpea (Vigna unguiculata(L.) Walp) on high altitude area of Jos plateau averaged over two cropping seasons.

| TRAITS | PE | PLH | DFI | DFT | DM | NPB | NSB | NPPP | POL | NSPP | 100SW | GY |
|--------|----|------|------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|
| PE | 1 | .144 | 041 | .021 | 068 | .234* | .088 | .126 | .020 | .021 | 188 | 076 |
| PLH | | 1 | .119 | .128 | .135 | .271* | .98 | .068 | .371** | .318** | .210 | .285* |
| DFI | | | 1 | .907** | .663** | .411** | .138 | 092 | .151 | 024 | .110 | 029 |
| DFT | | | | 1 | .775** | .347** | .007 | 148 | .116 | 079 | .130 | 097 |
| DM | | | | | 1 | .139** | 081 | 165 | .098 | 110 | .179 | 064 |
| NPB | | | | | | 1 | .708** | .453** | .262 | .278* | .006 | .339** |
| NSB | | | | | | | 1 | .775** | .019 | .090 | 195 | .450** |
| NPPP | | | | | | | | 1 | 067 | 042 | 196 | .572** |
| POL | | | | | | | | | 1 | .693** | .656** | .524** |
| NSPP | | | | | | | | | | 1 | .302** | .431** |
| 100SW | | | | | | | | | | | 1 | .504** |
| GY | | | | | | | | | | | | 1 |

*significant at P= 0.05. ** significant at P= 0.01

PE= Plant establishment, *PLH=* Plant height, *DFI=* Days to flower initiation *DFT=* Days to flower termination *DM=* Days to maturity *NPB=Numbers* of primary branches plant⁻¹, *NSB=Number* of secondary braches plant⁻¹, *NPPP=numbers* of pod per plant, *POL=Pod* length, *NSPP=Numbers* of seed pod⁻¹, 100SW=Hundred seed weight, GY=Grain yield (Kg ha⁻¹).

Table 5. Genotypic path coefficient analysis showing direct and indirect effects of yield and related traits on grain yield of eighty (80) cowpea accessions averaged over two years on high altitude area of Jos plateau

| Variables | PLH | NPB | NSB | NPPP | POL | NSPP | 100SW | Total genotypic correlation to grain yield. |
|-----------|--------|---------|---------|---------|----------|---------|--------|---------------------------------------------------|
| PLH | 0.05 | -0.0459 | 0.016 | 0.0455 | 0.01 n48 | 0.0928 | 0.1134 | 0.2866* |
| NPB | 0.0135 | -0.17 | 0.1136 | 0.2925 | 0.0104 | 0.0812 | 0.0054 | 0.3466** |
| NSB | 0.005 | -0.1207 | 0.16 | 0.4875 | 0.0008 | 0.0261 | -0.108 | 0.4507** |
| NPPP | 0.0035 | -0.0765 | 0.12 | 0.65 | -0.0028 | -0.0116 | -0.108 | 0.5746** |
| POL | 0.0185 | -0.0442 | 0.0032 | -0.0455 | 0.04 | 0.2001 | 0.3564 | 0.5285** |
| NSPP | 0.016 | -0.0476 | -0.0144 | -0.026 | 0.0276 | 0.29 | 0.162 | 0.4076** |
| 100SW | 0.0105 | -0.0017 | -0.032 | -0.13 | 0.0264 | 0.0928 | 0.54 | 0.506** |

Where: values bold are the direct effect to yield (path coefficient)

Other values are indirect effects via different pathways except the genotypic correlation with yield.

The International Journal of Innovative Approaches in Agricultural Research Volume 1 Issue 1, 2017



Figure 1. Path diagram showing the cause and effect relationship of yield and related traits of eighty (80) cowpea accessions averaged over two years

(*Single-arrowed* lines indicate the path coefficients, *Double-arrowed* lines indicate the genotypic correlation between traits, PLH=Plant height, NPB=primary branches plant⁻¹, NSB= # secondary braches plant, NPPP=# pod per plant POL=Pod length, NSPP=# seed pod⁻¹, 100SW=100 seed weight, GY=Grain yield (Kg ha⁻¹).

The high significant difference exhibited for all the yield and related traits accessed Table 2, indicated the existence of sufficient genetic variability among the cowpea accessions and a scope of improvement in cowpea. our result agreed with the earlier findings by Lesly, (2005) who reported sufficient variability for all the characters observed in this study, (Manggoel *et al.*, 2012) also reported significant variability for days to 50% flowering, number of peduncle per plant, number of flowers per plant, number of pod per plant, pod length, number of seed per pod, hundred seed weight and grain yield. This confirmed our result. The significant interaction between accession × season suggests non-adaptability of the tested accessions to the high altitude of Jos since their performance was not stable across the season.

The phenotypic coefficient of variability (PCV) and genotypic coefficient of variability (GCV) were higher than the environmental coefficient of variability (ECV) for all the yield and related traits accessed suggests that those characters are mostly controlled by genetic factor with a little environmental influences on the expression of these characters, thus selection for these traits on the basis of phenotypic value may be effective. Our results agreed with earlier findings by several researchers on cowpea (Manggoel et al., 2012, Oyiga and Uguru, 2011). This confirmed the study results.

High heritability and genetic advance attributed to high additive gene effect was observed for all the yield and related traits accessed in this study, except Days to maturity which recorded high heritability with moderate genetic advance. These Suggests mass selection breeding method as a means of improving those traits.

The significant positive correlation between grain yield and plant height, number of primary branches per plant, number of secondary branches per plant, number of pod per plant, pod length, number of seed per pod, hundred seed weight. Suggests that improvement in any of these character will lead to increase in grain yield of cowpea. Our results agree with earlier finding by (Lesly, 2005) who reported significant positive genotypic association of seed yield with hundred seed weight, seed per pod, pod length, number of pod per plant, number of primary branches per plant also (Igbal *et al.*, 2003) reported high significant positive correlation of grain yield with number of pod per plant and hundred seed weight. (Fana *et al.*, 2004) also reported significant positive correlation of grain yield with number of grain yield with number of primary branches per plant. These confirmed our results.

Path coefficient analysis indicated that, number of pod per plant, hundred seed weight, number of seed per pod, number of secondary branches per plant, plant height and pod length exerted a positive direct effect on grain yield. The highest direct effect on grain yield was recorded by number of pod per plant followed by hundred seed weight. Suggests that these traits are good yield enhancing indices. our result agrees with the reports of (Manggoel et al., 2012) who reported direct positive effect of hundred seed weight on grain yield of cowpea, (Kutty et al., 2003) also reported positive direct effect of number of pod per plant on grain yield in cowpea. However, number of primary branches per plant contributed

negatively to grain yield even thou it shows positive significant genotypic correlation with grain yield, its significant correlation might be as a result of indirect contributions of other characters. These therefore suggests that direct selection for yield improvement of cowpea based on number of primary branches will lead to lost in grain yield of cowpea.

Conclusion

From the result of the two years' trails involving eighty (80) cowpea accessions on high altitude area of Jos plateau the Analysis of Variance (ANOVA) revealed high significant variability at P<0.01 for all the yield and related traits accessed. The phenotypic coefficient of variability (PCV) and genotypic coefficient of variability (GCV) were higher than the environmental coefficient of variability (ECV) for all the yield and related traits accessed. A high heritability coupled with high genetic advance attributed to high additive gene effect was observed for all the yield and related traits accessed in this study, except Days to maturity which recorded high heritability with moderate genetic advance. There was also significant positive correlation between grain yield and plant height, number of primary branches per plant, number of secondary branches per plant, number of pod per plant, pod length, number of seed per pod, number of secondary branches per plant, plant height and pod length exerted a positive direct effect on grain yield.

Acknowledgements

We want to express our appreciation to the management of International Institute for Tropical Agriculture (IITA) Ibadan for providing us with the Cowpea accessions used during this research. The authors want to also thank Mr. Mohammed Gimba and Mr. Shaahu Andover of National Cereals Research Institute (NCRI) Badeggi who helped during the results analysis.

References

- Adewale B.D, Dumet D.J, Vroh-Bi I, Kehinde O.B, Ojo D.K, Adegbite A.E and Franco J. (2012). Morphological diversity analysis of African yam bean and prospects of utilization in germplasm conservation and breeding. *Gen. Res. Crop Evo.* 59(5):927-936.
- Alidu, M.S., Atokple I.D.K. and Akromah R. (2013): Genetic analysis of vegetative stage drought tolerance in cowpea. *Greener J. of Agric. Sci.* 3: 481-496
- Allard, R.W. 1960. Principles of plant breeding. New York; John Wiley and Sons Inc. 485 pp.
- Burton, G.W (1952). Quantitative inheritance in grasses. Proceedings of the 6th International Congress, 1: 277-283

- Denton O.A. and Nwangburuka C.C., (2011).Heritability, genetic advance and character association in six related characters of Solanum anguivi.*Asian Journal of Agricultural Research* 5: 201-207.
- El-Ahainy, A.A.H. (2012): Genetic studies on cowpea (*Vigna unguiculata* (L.) Walp) yield and quality characteristics. Ph.D. Thesis, Faculty of Agric. Minia, Minia Univ.
- Fana S.B., Pasquet, R. S. and Gepts P. (2004).Genetic diversity in cowpea (*Vigna unguiculata* (L.)Walp) as revealed by RAPD markers.*Genetic Resources and Crop Evolution* 51: 539-550.
- Genstat, 2007.Genstat for Windows, Discovery (3rd Ed) Lawes Agricultural Trust, Roth Amsted Experimental Station.UK.
- Hanson C.H., Robinson, H.F. and Comstock R.E.(1956). Biometric studies of yield segregating population in Korean lespedeza.*Agron. J.*, 48: 268-272.
- IITA, (2009).International Institute of Tropical Agriculture Ibadan, Oyo State.Annual report on Cowpea production.
- Iqbal S., Tariq M., Tahira M.A., Anwar M. and Ayub M.S. (2003).Path coefficient analysis in different genotypes of soybean (*Glycine max L. Merrill*).*Pak. J. Bio. Sci.* 6(12):1085-1087.
- Johnson H.W., Robinson H. and Comstock R.F. (1955).Estimates of genetic and environmental variability in soybean.*Agronomy Journal*, 47: 314 318.
- Kutty C.N., Mili R. and Jaikumaran V. (2003).Correlation and path analysis in vegetable cowpea.*Indian* Journal of Horticulture 60: 257-261.
- Lesly, W.D. (2005). Characterization and Evaluation of Cowpea (Vigna unguiculata (L.)Walp).Germplasm.M.Sc. thesis. University of Agricultural Sciences, Dharwad
- Manggoel W., Uguru M.I., Ndam O.N. and Desbak, M.A. (2012). Genetic variability, correlation and path coefficient analysis of some yield components of ten cowpea (*Vigna unguiculata*) (L.)
 Walpaccession. *Journal of Plant Breeding and Crop science*. 4(5), pp. 80-86.
- Sankie, L., Addo-Bediako, K.O. and Ayodele, V. (2012).Susceptibility of seven cowpea (Vigna unguiculata L. Walp) cultivars to cowpea beetle (Callosbruchus maculatres). Agric. Sci. Res. J., 2(2):65-69
- Shiringani, R.P. and Shimeles, H. A. (2011). Yield response and stability among cowpea genotypes at three planting dates and test environments. *African Journal of AgriculturalResources* 6(4): 3259-3263.
- Sivasubramanian, S and Menon, M. (1973). Heterosis and inbreeding depression in rice. *Madras* Agricultural Journal, 60: 1139

Tharanathan R.N, Mahadevamma S. (2003). Grain legume-a boon to human nutrition. Trends in Food Science and Technology 14(12):507-518